UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	New York, N.Y.
V.	20 Cr. 677 (AT)
CHOLO ABDI ABDULLAH,	
Defendant.	
x	
	March 21, 2023
	11:10 a.m.
Before:	
HON. ANALISA TO	DRRES,
	U.S. District Judg
APPEARANCE	S
DAMIAN WILLIAMS United States Attorney for the	
Southern District of New York BY: KYLE A. WIRSHBA	
Assistant United States Attorney	У
SABRINA P. SHROFF Attorney for Defendant	
necorne, for berendame	

(Case called)

THE COURT: We are here in the matter of United States v. Cholo Abdullah. Would you make your appearances, please?

MR. WIRSHBA: Good morning, your Honor. Kyle Wirshba for the government.

MS. SHROFF: Good morning, your Honor. For Mr. Abdi Abdullah, who is standing to my right, Sabrina Shroff.

THE COURT: Please, be seated. I would like to hear a report on the status of discovery.

MR. WIRSHBA: Your Honor, discovery in this matter is complete. We provided discovery to prior counsel and made sure that Ms. Shroff has all of the discovery letters that we provided so that she can make sure that she has a full accounting of all of the discovery since she came on relatively recently.

THE COURT: Are you in agreement?

MS. SHROFF: Well, your Honor, I am somewhat concerned about the production of discovery in this case simply because prior counsel did not receive a copy of the criminal complaint, which I think would be part of the Rule 16 discovery. I wasn't sure why she didn't ask for it or why it wasn't previously provided to Mr. Abdi Abdullah and his counsel.

As the government has noted, I am recently appointed on this case. I am still trying to go through the productions to figure out if there is something else that I need to

```
1
      specifically request as being missing from the discovery.
 2
               THE COURT: Did you ask for the compliant?
 3
               MS. SHROFF: I did.
 4
               MR. WIRSHBA: Your Honor, the complaint was provided
5
      to Ms. Shroff when she asked for it and it was also provided to
     prior counsel. We provided Ms. Shroff with a stamped copy that
6
 7
      had been provided to prior counsel.
8
               THE COURT: It was a hard copy?
9
               MR. WIRSHBA: No, no, it was a digital copy, your
10
      Honor.
11
               THE COURT: A digital copy. So then you have the
12
      record of the e-mail that it was attached to or it was -- how
13
      was it provided? On a disk or?
14
               MR. WIRSHBA: I'm not sure how it was provided to
15
     prior counsel. It was in one of the initial discovery
16
      productions but it was provided to Ms. Shroff by e-mail and,
17
      yes, we have a copy of that e-mail.
18
               THE COURT: So if you would re-send that, please?
19
               MR. WIRSHBA: We did, just recently.
20
               THE COURT: You did?
21
               MR. WIRSHBA: Ms. Shroff has it.
22
               MS. SHROFF: I am quite certain that prior counsel was
23
      never provided with the criminal complaint.
24
               THE COURT: But you have it now.
25
               MS. SHROFF: I do have it now. I made a request and
```

 ${\tt N3L5abdC}$

they provided it to me.

THE COURT: OK. Good. All right. So, you don't know whether you are going to make motions because you haven't finished yet with the discovery.

MS. SHROFF: Most respectfully, your Honor, I do not. I can detail for the Court why I would not be in a position to have finished reviewing the discovery or be ready to make motions. I think just a simple comparison of the time frame for which prior counsel was counsel of record, which I think was two years compared to not even having two full months on this case; I do apologize, but I'm not in a position to set a motion schedule.

THE COURT: Understood. So, when do you think that you might be finished with that review?

MS. SHROFF: Your Honor, Ramadan starts tomorrow. For the month of Ramadan, Mr. Abdi Abdullah does not wish to have legal visits and I think that's, of course, his absolute right and I intend to respect it fully. So, what my plan was to try to finish my review of discovery over that month, and then have about three weeks to speak to him after that and write a letter to the Court in about a month and three weeks or two months, to set out an agreed-upon motion schedule, if that would be OK with you, with the Court.

THE COURT: So when does Ramadan end?

MS. SHROFF: Ramadan ends on April 21st or 22nd of

2023, depending on the setting of the moon.

THE COURT: So by the end of May you expect to have gone over the discovery with him?

MS. SHROFF: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: So are you looking for a June date?

MS. SHROFF: A June date would be fine with me, or I could at the end of May or early June work out a motion schedule with the government and present it to the Court. Either is acceptable to me, but I think a June date would be good.

THE COURT: So, what I would like to do is to give you a date by which you submit that letter.

MS. SHROFF: Sure.

THE COURT: May 30 for the joint letter from both parties. I would also like to set a trial date, perhaps the beginning of 2024. January of 2024?

MR. WIRSHBA: That's fine for the government, your Honor.

MS. SHROFF: Your Honor, I apologize. I really don't have my trial schedule with me but I think that sometime in early 2024 would be fine. I don't anticipate a further delay. I do want to tell the Court that I anticipate CIPA litigation in this case. I have briefly reviewed the classified discovery in this matter and I fully intend to make a Section 5 filing in this case.

25

THE COURT: January 8 for trial, that's the date that 1 So when I receive your May 30 submission, 2 I am going to set. 3 at that time I will also set a conference date. 4 MS. SHROFF: Your Honor, if I have any already set 5 dates I will let the Court know by end of this week. I do apologize, I did not anticipate having access to my trial 6 7 schedule right now but I will let you know. 8 THE COURT: You mean for the January trial date. 9 MS. SHROFF: Yes. 10 THE COURT: You will let me know if there is a problem 11 with that. 12 How long do we think the trial will last? 13 MR. WIRSHBA: Approximately two to three weeks, your 14 Honor. THE COURT: OK. 15 Is there anything else the parties would like to raise? 16 17 MR. WIRSHBA: Not from the government. 18 MS. SHROFF: Your Honor, I have substantial condition 19 of confinement issues that I would like to raise with the 20 Court. May I? 21 THE COURT: Yes. 22 MS. SHROFF: Thank you. 23 I just want to first note for the Court that 24 Mr. Abdi Abdullah's presence at the last court appearance was

not refused by him. He did not know he had a court date, he

thought he was being brought to the SCIF, and I think it is important for his position and this Court to know that he would not in any way disrespect a court date. He was not told that he had court and that the letter that was sent to him by his prior counsel telling him that he had court arrived to him three weeks after he had actually appeared before you.

THE COURT: OK.

MS. SHROFF: So one, I wanted the Court to know that Mr. Abdi Abdullah is respectful of the Court's authority and had no disrespect, and when he was told he was being brought here for the court date, of course he came.

It is within that context that I want to tell the Court about certain difficulties that he is experiencing at MDC and it is impacting his legal representation, his ability to work on his case. I am happy to send to the Court all of the e-mails that I have sent on his behalf to the Bureau of Prisons, to the government, and I even reached out to Adam Johnson at regional — because he used to be here, I'm sure the Court remembers — to try and get his assistance.

So the first and most important thing is that

Mr. Abdi Abdullah's family does not have access to the Internet

for reasons that that are not relevant here or -- people have

different levels of ability, financially and otherwise, to get

Internet access. So I don't really know why, but before now

nobody has raised with the government the issue of SAMs inmates

having two VTC calls a month and phone calls. So if a defendant does not have the wherewithal to have VTC with his family --

THE COURT: I don't know what that stands for.

MS. SHROFF: Video conference, the video teleconference system.

So, a SAMs inmate can have a video meeting with his family and he can also have phone calls. In his case he is entitled to two hour-VTCs. Nobody has ever asked for those to be converted to phone calls because where his family lives, they don't have Internet access. I have asked the BOP, I have asked the government, and the only response I can get is we are working on it. OK? All I want is the VTC converted to a phone call.

THE COURT: That is perfectly reasonable.

MS. SHROFF: Right. So that's one issue.

The second issue is books. Mr. Abdi Abdullah is, like every SAMs inmate, on 24-hour solitary other than seeing his lawyer. That's it. Right? So the mind has to work. Books allow his mind to work. I send him books. They sit in the storage, they sit somewhere in the MDC, and I am sorry to tell this Court that I have spent more of my time e-mailing about books than working on legal issues in this case because it is the one thing that is upper most on Mr. Abdi Abdullah's mind because of the isolation that he is encountering at the jail.

THE COURT: Can the prosecution see that the books are delivered?

MR. WIRSHBA: We have been trying to locate the books, your Honor. We have spoken to the deputy that reviews the books. They've confirmed they don't have them, we are waiting to hear back.

MS. SHROFF: That can't possibly be. I was there on Sunday. I was there on Sunday, the unit manager Mr. Bullock came to the door and said that the books are in the storage closet but the storage closet cannot be accessed because it is being refurbished -- but there is always some excuse.

THE COURT: So we know where the books are now.

MS. SHROFF: And he still doesn't have them. So my request to the Court is that. I ask the Court to please order a schedule. The BOP should have a schedule. The BOP should have --

THE COURT: A schedule of what?

MS. SHROFF: Within five days of receipt of a book they are to either approve it or disapprove and send it back to Amazon.

THE COURT: Are these English-language books?

MS. SHROFF: They're all English-language books. I'm happy to give the titles of the books to the government before I order them. There is nothing untoward about the books. I am happy to do any disclosure. I am happy to give them my Amazon

```
account so they can see which books are sent, when they are sent, when they get to MDC. These are just books for the mind to work.
```

THE COURT: OK. So, is it Mr. Denton?

MR. WIRSHBA: No, Mr. Wirshba, your Honor.

THE COURT: I'm sorry, Mr. Wirshba.

MR. WIRSHBA: No problem.

THE COURT: Mr. Wirshba, so you can now tell the powers-at-be where the books are and they will have been reviewed by now or not?

MR. WIRSHBA: No, your Honor. My understanding is they need to go to FBI once they are received and then they are reviewed by FBI. That will make sure that FBI reviews them expeditiously. The location in the storage closet we will look into. With respect to -- I'm not aware of it being many books. Ms. Shroff has flagged one book and a subscription to a magazine that have not made it to where they need to go and we are already asking about that and looking into it.

THE COURT: So the nature of these books, is it Charles Dickens or is this something that's obscure?

MS. SHROFF: No, it is not obscure. They're off of the Internet, I have given Mr. Wirshba the title of the book, it is from Amazon.

THE COURT: Yes, but Amazon has all sorts of books.

MS. SHROFF: Fair enough, but --

THE COURT: I am trying to gauge whether or not the FBI would need a lengthy period of time for their review.

MS. SHROFF: It is a historical book about a prophet in I think 1603 or some age-old prophet of the Islamic religion. It is a book whose title I have given to the government. I wrote to the BOP about this on February 25th, 2023 at 9:20 a.m. about this book not being delivered.

Nothing. Everybody is working on it expeditiously but he still doesn't have the book.

THE COURT: So, I would like to know how long it is going to take them to make their decision.

Is this something you could say is part of the Islamic canon?

MS. SHROFF: It seems to be a very innocuous book, it is just a historical book. I don't have any reason to believe that it has anything to do at all with incendiary language or terrorism or anything.

THE COURT: That's not my question. I am trying to gauge just how well-known the book is because if it's an almanac, something that folks know about, then it wouldn't take them very long to review.

MS. SHROFF: It is an almanac, it is one of six books so it is the historical — the history of caliphates of Islam and the series is 1 to 6. He has 1, 2, they didn't give him 3; 4 is somewhere lost in space, and I haven't ordered 5 and 6 yet

but it is an anthology of books.

MR. WIRSHBA: The short answer is, your Honor, I don't know how well known these books are to the FBI but we can find out how long it will take them to review once it has gotten in their hands. It sounds like from Ms. Shroff he's received a couple of these books already in the anthology so hopefully that will speed things along because they're familiar with the set, but the bottom line is that FBI has not received no. 3 and still has not been able to review it yet. If the Court's question is how long it will take once it gets in their hands that is certainly something I can ask.

THE COURT: I would like you to make that inquiry, I would like you to describe the books for the FBI.

MR. WIRSHBA: Sure.

THE COURT: Because they very well may know them.

MR. WIRSHBA: Yes. I think we already sent them the title to make sure they didn't have it, so that is known to them already.

THE COURT: Yes, I would like to know, I would like to get a letter from you as to how long it is going to take them to make up their minds.

MR. WIRSHBA: Of course, your Honor.

THE COURT: But if he already has a couple in the anthology, does that mean they reviewed those already.

MS. SHROFF: Yes.

THE COURT: Oh.

MS. SHROFF: And I gave the prosecutor the title. And I'm happy to do this. I'm happy to give the government a list of books that I plan to order so that the review can start before I order them. I'm happy to follow whatever process it is but the rule is Mr. Abdi Abdullah gets five books in his cell.

THE COURT: OK.

MS. SHROFF: So if he is finished with three, he can swap out those three and get three more. He has been asking for this book for three weeks now. Each time he gets another story. If they don't want him to have the book, please, just return it to me, it cost \$49, I will just buy him another one. But the problem is nobody responds with anything other than "we are working on it."

THE COURT: So, I would like you to make your inquiry and let the FBI know that I want a quick answer.

MR. WIRSHBA: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: What else?

MS. SHROFF: I ordered him a radio. I ordered him a radio so that he can — you know, why one would order a radio for a client in SAMs, so that he is not sitting ruminating all day. It's been since February, since February, and Mr. Bullock, who is the unit manager, says 10-4. I will get the price for the radio and inform you of the price, February

26th. I put money in so he could buy the radio. He still doesn't have a radio.

THE COURT: So it is available as a concession within the concessions at the prison?

MS. SHROFF: Yes. In fact, Unit Manager Bullock, he said he would approve it for Mr. Abdi Abdullah, he had no concerns, he approved the purchase. He still doesn't have the radio and he has the money. I made sure that Mr. Abdi Abdullah and his family have made sure that he has money.

THE COURT: So this should be able to be taken care of overnight.

MR. WIRSHBA: Well, your Honor, not necessarily. I understand that Mr. Bullock has approved it but I'm not sure that Mr. Bullock has the authority to approve whether or not this particular SAMs inmate can have a radio, I just don't know the answer to that. The first that --

THE COURT: Do you know in general whether SAMs inmates can have radios?

MS. SHROFF: I do. They have --

MR. WIRSHBA: Ms. Shroff is more experienced, I'm sure she has a view on that, but I do not know and I think the first thing we heard about the radio was this morning, so we will look into it but I do not know the answer.

THE COURT: OK. So you are going to figure out the answer with regard to the radio.

What else?

MS. SHROFF: I just want to say that I have three other clients -- no, two other clients, all of them have a radio. If the government had read the SAMs they would know that there is no restriction on Mr. Abdi Abdullah having a radio. And you know what? If they don't want to give him a radio, please, just tell me so I can move on. But to say one thing to me and then have the government say another thing, it is not a clear process so all I ask the Court to do is to ask the government to come up with a process. I can give them the information and then they have 10 days to respond, but they have to respond with something other than we are working on it. It's unfair to Mr. Abdi Abdullah.

THE COURT: So when will you get back to me with regard to the radio?

MR. WIRSHBA: On the same time table I will get back to you about the book, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. What else?

MS. SHROFF: I think that's it. I don't think we have any other issues to raise. I know that the visitation has caused certain issues for us but I have been in touch with Mr. Patton and Ms. Von Dornum and they are assisting me in trying to resolve the issue, as is Lieutenant Thompson in the 84 unit where Mr. Abdi Abdullah is housed.

The delay is long, very long. Yesterday, for

example -- I don't want to bore the Court with all of this but I waited for more than an hour downstairs, nothing to do with the BOP, no fault of the BOP; there is another lawyer using the room. They're entitled to use the room. But he has prayer at 7:00, I get there at 4:30 but somebody is already in the room from having stayed there on the outcount, the room was occupied, you have to wait until they come out.

THE COURT: So it sounds like you have to get there earlier then.

MS. SHROFF: It wouldn't matter. I would have to sit with him through the outcount because they're on the outcount.

But if I got there on the outcount -- I could get there earlier, he has restrictions.

THE COURT: What about earlier in the day?

MS. SHROFF: Right. He has prayer five times a day so I have to slot my visit between the prayer slots. So the solution by the government was for me to e-mail Mr. Bullock with my times of visits. I tried to do that but it did not resolve the problem. So, we are trying to get MDC to have a second legal room. As of now there is one legal room for five inmates so I am trying to resolve that.

I apologize, your Honor. I know conditions of confinement issues are tedious here, but unfortunately I really do believe that they are impacting how Mr. Abdi Abdullah is able to process information, to remain alert, to engage, and I

do think that impacts his ability to work on this case. So, that's why I raise them with you.

THE COURT: So that brings our conference to a close. I look forward to hearing from the prosecution as soon as possible.

MR. WIRSHBA: Your Honor, if I may?

The government would seek to exclude time under the Speedy Trial Act. I don't believe your Honor set a conference date, you set a date for a letter. The government would seek to exclude time until January 8th, which is the date set for trial, to allow the defense to review the discovery, make any necessary motions and prepare for trial, and the parties to discuss a pretrial disposition.

MS. SHROFF: Your Honor, I think I ask the Court to consider excluding time until my letter is due to the Court. I think it's a better practice and also I think it is better for Mr. Abdi Abdullah to have --

THE COURT: But I haven't set an actual conference date when you will be in court. So, if your preference is that I set another conference then I can exclude time through the conference date.

MS. SHROFF: I think that would be better, your Honor.

THE COURT: OK. Tuesday, June 6, at 12 noon.

So, time is excluded under the Speedy Trial Act until June 6th. I find the ends of justice are served by excluding

this time outweigh the interests of the public and defendant in a speedy trial because this will allow time for the defense to consider discovery, to decide whether to prepare motions, and for the parties to discuss a possible disposition.

That brings our conference to an end. I wish all of you good health.

MR. WIRSHBA: Thank you, your Honor.

MS. SHROFF: Thank you, your Honor.